

V -geometrical ergodicity of Markov kernels via finite-rank approximations

Loïc HERVÉ, and James LEDOUX *

version: Thursday 27th February, 2020 – 16:00

Abstract

Under the standard drift/minorization and strong aperiodicity assumptions, this paper provides an original and quite direct approach of the V -geometrical ergodicity of a general Markov kernel P , which is by now a classical framework in Markov modelling. This is based on an explicit approximation of the iterates of P by positive finite-rank operators, combined with the Krein-Rutman theorem in its version on topological dual spaces. Moreover this allows us to get a new bound on the spectral gap of the transition kernel. This new approach is expected to shed new light on the role and on the interest of the above mentioned drift/minorization and strong aperiodicity assumptions in V -geometrical ergodicity.

AMS subject classification : 60J05

Keywords : Geometric ergodicity, Rate of convergence, Spectral gap, Minorization condition, Drift condition

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper P is a Markov kernel on a measurable space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$. For any positive measure μ on \mathbb{X} and any μ -integrable function $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\mu(f)$ denotes the integral $\int f d\mu$. When P admits a unique invariant distribution denoted by π , an important question in the theory of Markov chains is to find condition for the n -th iterate P^n of P to converge to π when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, and to control $\|P^n - \pi(\cdot)1_{\mathbb{X}}\|$ for some functional norm. In this paper we consider the standard V -weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ associated with some $[1, +\infty)$ -valued function V on \mathbb{X} . Then the property

$$\|P^n - \pi(\cdot)1_{\mathbb{X}}\|_V := \sup_{|f| \leq V} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \frac{|(P^n f)(x) - \pi(f)|}{V(x)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

implies that there exists $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|P^n - \pi(\cdot)1_{\mathbb{X}}\|_V = O(\rho^n)$: this corresponds to the so-called V -geometrical ergodicity property, see [MT93, RR04, KM03]. The infimum of all the real numbers ρ such that the previous property holds true is the so-called spectral gap of P , denoted by $\rho_V(P)$.

*Univ Rennes, INSA Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR-UMR 6625, F-35000, France. Loic.Herve@insa-rennes.fr, James.Ledoux@insa-rennes.fr

Since the classical work by Meyn and Tweedie [MT93, MT94], it is well known that P is V -geometrically ergodic provided that usual irreducibility/aperiodicity assumptions hold true and that the following drift/minorization conditions are fulfilled: there exist $S \in \mathcal{X}$, called a small set, and a positive measure ν on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that

$$\exists \delta \in (0, 1), \exists L > 0, \quad PV \leq \delta V + L 1_S, \quad (\mathbf{D})$$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall A \in \mathcal{X}, \quad P(x, A) \geq \nu(1_A) 1_S(x). \quad (\mathbf{M})$$

Condition (\mathbf{M}) when the small set S is the entire state space \mathbb{X} is the so-called Doeblin condition. The proofs in [MT93, MT94, Bax05] are based on renewal theory involving the study of the return times to the small set S and Kendall's theorem. Actually the renewal theory applies to the atomic case (i.e. when S is an atom), and it has to be applied to the split chain in the general case.

In this paper, under Assumptions (\mathbf{D}) - (\mathbf{M}) and the (strong) aperiodicity condition as in [Bax05]

$$\nu(1_S) > 0, \quad (\mathbf{SA})$$

we revisit the V -geometrical ergodicity property of P thanks to a simple constructive approach based on an explicit approximation of the iterates of P by positive finite-rank operators, combined with Krein-Rutman theorem [KR50]. This theorem can be thought of as an abstract dual Perron-Frobenius statement. It is stated at the end of this section in our specific case of positive operators acting on a weighted-supremum norm space.

Specifically in Section 2, the following sequence $(\beta_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of positive measures on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is recursively defined from the positive measure ν and the small S in Condition (\mathbf{M}) :

$$\beta_1(\cdot) := \nu(\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall n \geq 2, \quad \beta_n(\cdot) := \nu(P^{n-1} \cdot) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \nu(P^{n-k-1} 1_S) \beta_k(\cdot).$$

Then, under Conditions (\mathbf{D}) - (\mathbf{M}) , the following assertions are obtained:

- (i) $\forall n \geq 1, P^n - T_n = (P - T)^n$ with $T_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(\cdot) P^{n-k} 1_S$ satisfying $0 \leq T_n \leq P^n$;
- (ii) $r := \lim_n (\|P^n - T_n\|_V)^{1/n} < 1$, thus $\forall \gamma \in (r, 1), \|P^n - T_n\|_V = O(\gamma^n)$;
- (iii) $r \leq (\delta \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) + \tau) / (\nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) + \tau) < 1$, with $\tau := \max(0, L - \nu(V))$.

In Section 3, under Conditions (\mathbf{D}) - (\mathbf{M}) , the unique invariant distribution π of P is obtained from the explicit series

$$\pi = \pi(1_S) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k,$$

which extends a well-known formula when P satisfies the Doeblin condition, see [LC14], or when P is irreducible and recurrent positive according to [Num84, p 74]. More important, as a result of the above assertion (ii), we easily derive the rate $\beta_n(V) = O(\gamma^n)$ as well as an approximation of π by an explicit sequence of probability measures with the same convergence rate. In Sections 4 and 5, under the additional assumption (\mathbf{SA}) , an original proof of the V -geometrical ergodicity is derived from the results of Sections 2-3. More precisely, setting

$$\varrho_S := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \frac{|(P^n(x, S) - \pi(1_S))|}{V(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

the V -geometrical ergodicity follows from the following bounds of the spectral gap of P

$$\rho_V(P) \leq \max(r, \varrho_S) \leq \left(\min \left\{ |z| : 1 < |z| < 1/r, \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) z^k = 0 \right\} \right)^{-1} < 1 \quad (2)$$

with the convention that the above minimum equals to $1/r$ if the related set is empty (in this case $\rho_V(P) \leq r$).

Although the results of Section 5 seem to be close to those in [Bax05], where a real number similar to ϱ_S is also introduced, it is worth noticing that they differ completely from their content and their proofs. Indeed, on the one hand the renewal theory is not used here, on the other hand no intermediate Markov kernel is required in our work, in particular we do not use the split chain. Our method is mainly based on the Krein-Rutman theorem. Recall that the classical Perron-Frobenius theorem is a useful result for obtaining positive eigenvectors belonging to the maximal positive eigenvalue of a finite non-negative matrix. Here the Krein-Rutman theorem plays the same role (on the dual side). The following four stages outline our approach. First, the minorization condition **(M)** provides the positive finite-rank operator T_n in the above assertion (i). Let us mention that such an approach has been used in [HL19] to study inhomogeneous products of Markov kernels satisfying the Doeblin condition. Second, the geometric rate of $\|(P - T)^n\|_V$ is obtained under Conditions **(D)**-**(M)** thanks to the Krein-Rutman theorem. Third, the existence and uniqueness of the invariant distribution π is deduced from the Krein-Rutman theorem too. Four, standard arguments on power series are used to prove Inequalities (2) under the additional assumption **(SA)**.

As mentioned in [Bax05] (see also the references therein), the bounds of $\rho_V(P)$ obtained in the literature may be still quite far off $\rho_V(P)$, and we do not presume to give here a better bound of $\rho_V(P)$. Actually this new approach is expected to shed new light, as for instance in [HM11], on the role of Assumptions **(D)**-**(M)**-**(SA)** in the study of the V -geometrical ergodicity.

Notations and basic material

Let $V : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be a measurable function such that $V(x_0) = 1$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$. Let $(\mathcal{B}_V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ denote the weighted-supremum Banach space

$$\mathcal{B}_V := \left\{ f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ measurable} : \|f\|_V := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \frac{|f(x)|}{V(x)} < \infty \right\}.$$

If Q is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{B}_V , its operator norm $\|Q\|_V$ is defined by

$$\|Q\|_V := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \|f\|_V \leq 1} \|Qf\|_V.$$

If Q_1 and Q_2 are bounded linear operators on \mathcal{B}_V , we write $Q_1 \leq Q_2$ when the following property holds: $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, f \geq 0, Q_1 f \leq Q_2 f$. Under Assumption **(D)**, the following functional action of P

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \quad (Pf)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{X}} f(y) P(x, dy)$$

is well-defined and provides a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{B}_V . Recall that P is said to be V -geometrically ergodic if there exists a P -invariant probability measure π on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\pi(V) < \infty$ and if there exist some rate $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and constant $C_\rho > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \geq 0, \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \|f\|_V \leq 1} \|P^n f - \pi(f)1_{\mathbb{X}}\|_V \leq C_\rho \rho^n. \quad (3)$$

Denoting by Π the rank-one operator $f \mapsto \pi(f)1_{\mathbb{X}}$ on \mathcal{B}_V , Property (3) rewrites as

$$\forall n \geq 0, \quad \|(P - \Pi)^n\|_V = \|P^n - \Pi\|_V \leq C_\rho \rho^n. \quad (4)$$

The spectral gap of P , denoted by $\rho_V(P)$, is defined as the spectral radius $r(P - \Pi)$ of the operator $P - \Pi$, that is

$$\rho_V(P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|(P - \Pi)^n\|_V)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|P^n - \Pi\|_V)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (5)$$

Equivalently $\rho_V(P)$ is the infimum of all the real numbers ρ such that (3) holds true for some positive constant C_ρ . Finally \mathcal{B}'_V denotes the topological dual space of \mathcal{B}_V , that is the Banach space composed of all the continuous linear forms on \mathcal{B}_V , equipped with its usual norm:

$$\forall \eta \in \mathcal{B}'_V, \quad \|\eta\|'_V = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \|f\|_V \leq 1} |\eta(f)|.$$

Note that, if $\eta \in \mathcal{B}'_V$ is non-negative (i.e. $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V : f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \eta(f) \geq 0$), then $\|\eta\|'_V = \eta(V)$.

Finally, for the sake of simplicity, let us state the Krein-Rutman theorem for the positive operators on \mathcal{B}_V . In such a context, a proof can be directly obtained from [MN91, Th 4.1.5, p 251] using $E := \mathcal{B}_V$ and $\|\cdot\|_e := \|\cdot\|_V$.

Krein-Rutman theorem *If L is a positive bounded linear operator on \mathcal{B}_V such that its spectral radius $r(L) = \lim_n \|L^n\|_V^{1/n} > 0$, then there exists a non-trivial non-negative $\eta \in \mathcal{B}'_V$ such that $\eta \circ L = r(L)\eta$.*

2 Approximation of P^n by a positive finite-rank operator

Let P be a Markov kernel satisfying Conditions **(D)**-**(M)**. We set $\beta_1(\cdot) := \nu(\cdot)$, and for every $n \geq 2$, the element $\beta_n(\cdot)$ of \mathcal{B}'_V is defined by the following recursive formula :

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad \beta_n(f) := \nu(P^{n-1}f) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \nu(P^{n-k-1}1_S) \beta_k(f). \quad (6)$$

Note that $\beta_1(\cdot) = \nu(\cdot)$ is defined as a positive measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ and that $\beta_1(V) = \nu(V) < \infty$ from **(D)**-**(M)**. Thus $\beta_1(\cdot)$ defines a non-negative element of \mathcal{B}'_V . It follows from induction that, for every $n \geq 1$, $\beta_n(\cdot)$ is well defined as an element of \mathcal{B}'_V . Actually the next proposition shows that, for every $n \geq 1$, $\beta_n(\cdot)$ can be defined as a positive measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\beta_n(V) < \infty$. Let T be the rank-one non-negative operator on \mathcal{B}_V defined by :

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad Tf := \nu(f)1_S = \beta_1(f)1_S.$$

It follows from **(M)** that $0 \leq T \leq P$.

Proposition 2.1 *Assume that P satisfies Assumptions (D)-(M). Then*

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad T_n := P^n - (P - T)^n = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(\cdot) P^{n-k} 1_S \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq T_n \leq P^n. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, for every $n \geq 1$, β_n is a positive measure on (X, \mathbb{X}) such that $\beta_n(V) < \infty$, that is: there exists a positive measure on (X, \mathbb{X}) (still denoted by β_n) such that $\int_{\mathbb{X}} V d\beta_n < \infty$ and: $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V$, $\beta_n(f) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} f d\beta_n$.

Proof. The first equality in (7) is just the definition of T_n . That $0 \leq T_n \leq P^n$ follows from $0 \leq T \leq P$. The second equality in (7) for $n = 1$ is obvious from the definition of T . Now assume that this second equality holds true for some $n \geq 1$. Then

$$P^{n+1} - T_{n+1} := (P - T)^{n+1} = (P - T)(P^n - T_n) = P^{n+1} - PT_n - TP^n + TT_n$$

from which we deduce that, for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_V$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+1}f &= PT_n f + TP^n f - TT_n f \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(f) P^{n-k+1} 1_S + \left(\beta_1(P^n f) - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(f) \nu(P^{n-k} 1_S) \right) 1_S \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(f) P^{n+1-k} 1_S + \beta_{n+1}(f) 1_S \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with $\beta_{n+1}(\cdot)$ defined in (6). This provides the second equality in (7) by induction.

As already mentioned $\beta_1(\cdot) = \nu(\cdot)$ is defined as a positive measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\beta_1(V) < \infty$. Next, for every $n \geq 1$, the element $\beta_n(\cdot)$ is defined as an element of \mathcal{B}'_V and for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_V$, we have from (6) and then from (7)

$$\beta_n(f) = \nu(P^{n-1} f) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k(f) \nu(P^{n-k-1} 1_S) = \nu(P^{n-1} f - T_{n-1} f). \quad (9)$$

It follows that $\beta_n(\cdot)$ is a non-negative element of \mathcal{B}'_V since $P^{n-1} \geq T_{n-1}$. To complete the proof, let us prove by induction that, for every $n \geq 1$, β_n is a positive measure on (X, \mathbb{X}) such that $\beta_n(V) < \infty$. Assume that, for some $n \geq 2$, the following property holds: for every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\beta_k(\cdot)$ is a positive measure on (X, \mathbb{X}) such that $\beta_k(V) < \infty$. That is: for every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ there exists a positive measure on (X, \mathbb{X}) (still denoted by β_k) such that $\int_{\mathbb{X}} V d\beta_k < \infty$ and $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V$, $\beta_k(f) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} f d\beta_k$. Then $\beta_n(\cdot)$ in (6) is a finite linear combination of positive measures on (X, \mathbb{X}) . It follows that $\beta_n(\cdot)$ is itself a positive measure on (X, \mathbb{X}) since we have proved that β_n is non-negative. \square

Under Assumptions (D)-(M), let us introduce the spectral radius $r := r(P - T)$ of $P - T$ on \mathcal{B}_V :

$$r := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|(P - T)^n\|_V)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|P^n - T_n\|_V)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that P satisfies Conditions (D)-(M). Then*

$$r \leq \frac{\delta \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) + \tau}{\nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) + \tau} < 1 \quad \text{where} \quad \tau := \max(0, L - \nu(V)). \quad (11)$$

Inequality (11) has already been established to prove [HL14, Th. 5.2] in another purpose. Here a short proof of (11) is given to highlight the use of the Krein-Rutman theorem.

Proof. Condition **(D)** implies that $PV \leq \delta V + L1_{\mathbb{X}}$, thus: $\forall n \geq 1$, $\|P^n\|_V = \|P^n V\|_V \leq (1 - \delta + L)/(1 - \delta)$. Then the spectral radius $r(P)$ of P is one from $P1_{\mathbb{X}} = 1_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $1_{\mathbb{X}} \in \mathcal{B}_V$. Recall that $T := \nu(\cdot)1_S$. Set $R := P - T$ with spectral radius $r := r(R)$. We know that $0 \leq R \leq P$, thus $r \leq r(P) = 1$. If $r = 0$, then (11) is obvious. Now assume that $r \in (0, 1]$. Then there exists $\eta \in \mathcal{B}'_V$, $\eta \geq 0$, $\eta \neq 0$ such that $\eta \circ R = r\eta$ from the Krein-Rutman theorem. Since $P = T + R$, we have $\eta \circ P = \eta \circ T + r\eta$, so that $\eta(P1_{\mathbb{X}}) = \eta(1_{\mathbb{X}}) = \eta(T1_{\mathbb{X}}) + r\eta(1_{\mathbb{X}})$. Hence $\eta(T1_{\mathbb{X}}) = (1 - r)\eta(1_{\mathbb{X}})$. Observing that $T1_{\mathbb{X}} = \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}})1_S$ and $\nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) > 0$, and that $\eta \geq 0$ and $1_{\mathbb{X}} \leq V$, it follows that

$$\eta(1_S) = \frac{(1 - r)\eta(1_{\mathbb{X}})}{\nu(1_{\mathbb{X}})} \leq \frac{(1 - r)\eta(V)}{\nu(1_{\mathbb{X}})}.$$

We have $RV = PV - \nu(V)1_S \leq \delta V + (L - \nu(V))1_S$ from **(D)**. Hence

$$r\eta(V) = \eta(RV) \leq \delta\eta(V) + \tau\eta(1_S) \leq \delta\eta(V) + \tau \frac{(1 - r)\eta(V)}{\nu(1_{\mathbb{X}})}.$$

Since $\eta \neq 0$, we have $\eta(V) = \|\eta\|'_V \neq 0$, and (11) follows from the last inequality. \square

Note that, for every $n \geq 1$, the operator T_n defined in Proposition 2.1 is positive and finite-rank, more precisely $\text{Im}(T_n)$ is contained in the n -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{B}_V generated by the functions $1_S, P1_S, \dots, P^{n-1}1_S$. The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1 *Assume that P satisfies **(D)**-**(M)**. Then, for every $\gamma \in (r, 1)$, there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad \|P^n f - T_n f\|_V = \left\| P^n f - \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(f) P^{n-k} 1_S \right\|_V \leq C_\gamma \gamma^n \|f\|_V. \quad (12)$$

Under Conditions **(D)**-**(M)**, Inequality (12) provides a geometric convergence rate for the difference between the n -th iterate of P and the positive finite-rank operator T_n . This will be a central preliminary property for obtaining the results of Sections 3, 4 and 5.

3 Existence and approximation of π

Let us introduce

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \mu_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \quad (13)$$

with the β_k 's defined in (6). It follows from Proposition 2.1 that μ_n is a positive measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\mu_n(V) < \infty$. We provide a very short proof that P has a unique invariant probability π with a simple representation from the β_k 's.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that P satisfies **(D)**-**(M)**. Then P has a unique P -invariant distribution π . Moreover π satisfies:*

$$\pi = \pi(1_S) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k, \quad (14)$$

where the series $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k$ is absolutely convergent in \mathcal{B}'_V with

$$\forall \gamma \in (r, 1), \forall n \geq 1, \quad \|\beta_n\|'_V \leq \nu(V) C_\gamma \gamma^{n-1} \quad (15)$$

where C_γ is given in Corollary 2.1. Moreover $\pi(V) < \infty$.

Proof. Under Condition **(D)**, we know from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that the spectral radius $r(P)$ of P is one. Next, we know from the Krein-Rutman theorem that there exists a non-zero and non-negative element $\phi \in \mathcal{B}'_V$ such that $\phi \circ P = \phi$. We may assume that $\|\phi\|'_V = 1$. We obtain using the P -invariance of ϕ and (12) that for every $\gamma \in (r, 1)$

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \|\phi - \phi(1_S) \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k\|'_V \leq C_\gamma \gamma^n \quad (16)$$

where C_γ is given in Corollary 2.1. It follows that $\phi = \phi(1_S) \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k$ in \mathcal{B}'_V . Actually this series absolutely converges in \mathcal{B}'_V since we have for every $n \geq 2$

$$\|\beta_n\|'_V \leq \|\nu\|'_V \|P^{n-1} - T_{n-1}\|_V \leq \nu(V) C_\gamma \gamma^{n-1}$$

from (9) and Corollary 2.1, and from $\|\nu\|'_V = \nu(V)$. Next $\mu := \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k$ defines a sigma-additive positive measure from Proposition 2.1. Since $\beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \leq \beta_k(V) = \|\beta_k\|'_V$ for any $k \geq 1$, we have $\mu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \leq \mu(V) < +\infty$ and μ is a finite positive measure. Thus ϕ is a finite positive measure and ϕ is a P -invariant probability up to a normalization factor. \square

The following theorem states that the P -invariant probability π may be approximated by a sequence of probability measures defined from the β_k 's. Indeed, $\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \geq \beta_1(1_{\mathbb{X}}) = \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) > 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. Thus, we can define from (13) the following probability measure $\tilde{\mu}_n(\cdot)$ on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_n(V) < \infty$:

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \tilde{\mu}_n(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}})} \mu_n(\cdot). \quad (17)$$

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that P satisfies **(D)**-**(M)**. Let γ be such that $\gamma \in (r, 1)$, and let n_0 be the smallest integer number such that $L C_\gamma \gamma^{n_0} + \delta < 1$, with C_γ given in Corollary 2.1. Then the following assertion holds for the P -invariant probability π :*

$$\forall n \geq n_0, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad |\pi(f) - \tilde{\mu}_n(f)| \leq \frac{L}{1-\delta} \left(1 + \frac{L}{1-\delta - L C_\gamma \gamma^n} \right) C_\gamma \gamma^n \|f\|_V. \quad (18)$$

Proof. We have from (17) and from the triangle inequality that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad |\pi(f) - \tilde{\mu}_n(f)| \leq |\pi(f) - \pi(1_S) \mu_n(f)| + |\mu_n(f)| \left| \frac{\pi(1_S) \mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}}) - 1}{\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}})} \right|. \quad (19)$$

Using the notations of Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, we deduce from the P -invariance of π that $\pi \circ T_n = \pi(1_S) \mu_n$. It follows from (12) that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad |\pi(f) - \pi(1_S) \mu_n(f)| \leq C_\gamma \gamma^n \pi(V) \|f\|_V. \quad (20)$$

We deduce from **(D)** that $\pi(V) \leq \delta \pi(V) + L \pi(1_S)$. Thus $\pi(1_S) > 0$ since $\delta < 1$ and $\pi(V) > 0$ and we have

$$\pi(V) \leq \frac{\pi(V)}{\pi(1_S)} \leq \frac{L}{1-\delta}. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, we obtain from (20)

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}_V, \quad |\pi(f) - \pi(1_S)\mu_n(f)| \leq \frac{L}{1-\delta} C_\gamma \gamma^n \|f\|_V. \quad (22)$$

Let us control the second term in the right hand side of Inequality (19). Property (22) with $f := 1_{\mathbb{X}}$ gives

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad |1 - \pi(1_S)\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}})| \leq \frac{L}{1-\delta} C_\gamma \gamma^n. \quad (23)$$

Let $n \geq n_0$. We know from (23) that $\pi(1_S)\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \geq 1 - LC_\gamma\gamma^n/(1-\delta)$, thus $\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \geq \pi(1_S)\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \geq (1-\delta - LC_\gamma\gamma^n)/(1-\delta) > 0$ from $\pi(1_S) \leq 1$ and the definition of n_0 . It follows from (23) and from the last inequality that

$$\forall n \geq n_0, \quad \left| \frac{\pi(1_S)\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}}) - 1}{\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}})} \right| \leq \frac{L}{1-\delta} C_\gamma \gamma^n \frac{1}{\mu_n(1_{\mathbb{X}})} \leq \frac{LC_\gamma\gamma^n}{1-\delta - LC_\gamma\gamma^n}.$$

Next, it remains to note that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad |\mu_n(f)| \leq \mu_n(V)\|f\|_V \leq \frac{L}{1-\delta}\|f\|_V$$

since it follows from (14) and (21) that $\mu_n(V) \leq \pi(V)/\pi(1_S) \leq L/(1-\delta)$.

The proof of Inequality (18) is complete. \square

Remark 3.1 *Theorem 3.1 asserts the existence of a unique invariant probability when \mathbb{X} is a general state space and P satisfies the conditions **(D)**-**(M)**. Under topological assumptions on \mathbb{X} such a statement can be simply obtained by using Prohorov's theorem. This is the case when P satisfies the drift condition **(D)** provided that \mathbb{X} is a separable complete metric space and that V has compact level sets (for completeness a proof is postponed to Proposition A.1).*

Remark 3.2 *It follows from (9) and (7) that $\beta_k = \nu(P-T)^{k-1}$ for every $k \geq 1$, so that the series representation (14) of π reduces to $\pi = \pi(1_S)\nu \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (P-T)^k$. Such a representation is well known when P satisfies the Doeblin condition (i.e. \mathbb{X} is a small set, e.g. see [LC14]) and when P is irreducible and recurrent positive by using the renewal theory, see [Num84, p. 74]. Note that Theorem 3.1 gives this formula with the additional geometric rate (15) which is central for analysing the power series introduced in the next section.*

4 Some relevant power series

In this short section some power series related to the $\beta_k(\cdot)$'s are introduced and we prove a result that highlights the interest of Property (15) and the role of Assumption **(SA)**. For every $\tau > 0$ we set $D(0, \tau) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \tau\}$ and $\overline{D}(0, \tau) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \tau\}$.

Proposition 4.1 *Assume that P satisfies **(D)**-**(M)**. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_V$, the radius of convergence of the power series*

$$B_f(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(f) z^k$$

is larger than $1/r$. The functions $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}$ and B_{1_S} (i.e. B_f for $f := 1_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $f := 1_S$) satisfy

$$\forall z \in D(0, 1/r), \quad (1 - z)B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z) = \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}})z(1 - B_{1_S}(z)). \quad (24)$$

Under the additional assumption **(SA)**, $z = 0$ is the unique zero of $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ in $\overline{D}(0, 1)$.

Proof. The assertion on the radius of convergence follows from (15). Next, set $a_{-1} := 1$ and $\forall j \geq 0, a_j := \nu(P^j 1_S)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_V$. Then (6) rewrites as

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \nu(P^{n-1}f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(f)a_{n-k-1}. \quad (25)$$

Note that the radius of convergence of the power series $N_f(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \nu(P^n f)z^n$ is larger than 1 since $\sup_n \nu(|P^n f|) \leq \|f\|_V \sup_n \nu(P^n V) < \infty$ from **(D)**-**(M)**. It follows from (25) that for every $z \in D(0, 1)$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \nu(P^{n-1}f)z^n = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(f)a_{n-k-1}z^n = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(f)z^k \sum_{n=k}^{+\infty} a_{n-k-1}z^{n-k},$$

so that: $\forall z \in D(0, 1), zN_f(z) = B_f(z)(1 + zN_{1_S}(z))$. We obtain with $f := 1_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $f := 1_S$

$$\forall z \in D(0, 1), \quad \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}})\frac{z}{1-z} = B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z)(1 + zN_{1_S}(z)) \quad zN_{1_S}(z)(1 - B_{1_S}(z)) = B_{1_S}(z). \quad (26)$$

The second equality of (26) gives $(1 + zN_{1_S}(z))(1 - B_{1_S}(z)) = 1$ and multiplying the first equality of (26) by $(1 - B_{1_S}(z))$ provides (24) on $D(0, 1)$.¹ The extension of (24) to the open disk $D(0, 1/r)$ follows from the principle of analytic continuation.

Now we prove the last assertion of Proposition 4.1. Note that $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(0) = 0$. The first equality in (26) shows that, for every $z \in D(0, 1), z \neq 0$, we have $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z) \neq 0$ since $z/(1-z) \neq 0$. Now assume that there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z_0| = 1, z_0 \neq 1$, and $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z_0) = 0$. Then $B_{1_S}(z_0) = 1$ from (24), which is impossible since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_S)z^k = 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1 \implies z = 1. \quad (27)$$

Indeed set $z := e^{i\vartheta}$ with $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi[$. Then the equality $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_S)z^k = 1$ provides $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_S)(1 - \cos(k\vartheta)) = 0$ since $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_S) = 1$ from (14). We deduce from $\beta_1(1_S) = \nu(1_S) > 0$ that $\cos(\vartheta) = 1$, that is $z = 1$. We have proved by a reductio ad absurdum that $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z_0) \neq 0$ for every $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z_0| = 1, z_0 \neq 1$. Finally note that $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(1) = 1/\pi(1_S) \neq 0$ from (14). \square

5 V -geometrical ergodicity and bound of the spectral gap

In this section an original proof of the V -geometrical ergodicity of P under the three assumptions **(D)**-**(M)**-**(SA)** is derived from the previous statements. We also provide a new bound

¹When S is an atom for the chain, note that $(1 + zN_{1_S}(z))(1 - B_{1_S}(z)) = 1$ is the so-called renewal equation related to the return times to the atom S (e.g. see [Num84, Bax05]). However this fact is not relevant here since the atomic and non-atomic cases are investigated in a unified way.

of the spectral gap $\rho_V(P)$ of P on \mathcal{B}_V defined in (5). This bound is related to the real number $r \in [0, 1)$ of Theorem 2.1 and to the following real number ϱ_S only depending on the action of the iterates of P on the small set S in **(M)**:

$$\varrho_S := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|(P^n - \Pi)1_S\|_V)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (28)$$

Under Assumptions **(D)**-**(M)**-**(SA)**, Proposition 4.1 is used in order to define

$$\theta := \min \{|z| : 1 < |z| < 1/r, B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z) = 0\} \quad (29)$$

with the convention $\theta := 1/r$ when the previous set is empty. Note that, for every $0 < \tau < 1/r$, the function $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ is analytic on $\overline{D}(0, \tau)$ from Proposition 4.1 so has a finite number of zeros in $\overline{D}(0, \tau)$. From this fact and from the definition of θ , it follows that $\theta > 1$. The following lemma is used to derive the inequality $\varrho_S \leq \theta^{-1}$.

Lemma 5.1 *Assume that P satisfies Conditions **(D)**-**(M)**-**(SA)**. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{B}'_V$, and for every $j \geq 0$ set $\sigma_j := \phi((P^j - \Pi)1_S)$. Then the power series $\sigma(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_k z^k$ has a radius of convergence larger than θ .*

Proof. The radius of convergence of $\sigma(z)$ is larger than 1 since $(\sigma_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is clearly bounded from above by $2\|\phi\|'_V$. Next, we deduce from the definitions of T_n and π in (7) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} (T_n - P^n)1_{\mathbb{X}} &= T_n 1_{\mathbb{X}} - 1_{\mathbb{X}} = T_n 1_{\mathbb{X}} - \Pi 1_{\mathbb{X}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) P^{n-k} 1_S - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \right) \pi(1_S) 1_{\mathbb{X}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) (P^{n-k} - \Pi) 1_S - \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \right) \Pi 1_S. \end{aligned}$$

Composing on the left by ϕ this equality we obtain that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \sigma_{n-k} = h_n$$

where $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of complex numbers (depending on ϕ) such that, for every $\gamma \in (r, 1)$, $|h_n| = O(\gamma^n)$ from Corollary 2.1 and (15). Then

$$\forall z \in D(0, 1), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(1_{\mathbb{X}}) \sigma_{n-k} z^n = B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z) \sigma(z) = h(z) \quad \text{where } h(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} h_n z^n. \quad (30)$$

Note that $h(z)$ (as $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z)$) has a radius of convergence larger than $1/r$ since we have, for every $\gamma \in (r, 1)$, $|h_n| = O(\gamma^n)$. Moreover, first $z = 0$ is the only zero of $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ on $D(0, \theta)$ from Proposition 4.1 and from the definition of θ , second $z = 0$ is a simple zero of $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ since $\beta_1(1_{\mathbb{X}}) = \nu(1_{\mathbb{X}}) > 0$. Thus, for every $z \in D(0, \theta)$, $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z) = z\xi(z)$ with $\xi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \beta_{k+1}(1_{\mathbb{X}}) z^k$ having a radius of convergence larger than $1/r$ and having no zero in $D(0, \theta)$. It follows from (30) that $z \mapsto z\sigma(z)$ coincides on $D(0, 1)$ with the function h/ξ which is analytic on $D(0, \theta)$ since $1/r \geq \theta$ and ξ does not vanish on $D(0, \theta)$. Therefore the power series $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sigma_k z^{k+1}$ has a radius of convergence larger than θ . \square

Proposition 5.2 *Assume that P satisfies (D)-(M)-(SA). Then we have: $\varrho_S \leq \theta^{-1} < 1$.*

Proof. That $\theta > 1$ has already been obtained. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{B}'_V$. Then Lemma 5.1 and the Cauchy-Hadamard formula give

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\phi((P^n - \Pi)1_S)|^{1/n} \leq \theta^{-1}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have proved that: $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{B}'_V$, $\sup_{n \geq 0} (\theta^{-1} + \varepsilon)^{-n} |\phi((P^n - \Pi)1_S)| < \infty$. It follows from a classical corollary of the Banach-Steinhaus theorem that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} (\theta^{-1} + \varepsilon)^{-n} \|(P^n - \Pi)1_S\|_V < \infty. \quad (31)$$

This give $\varrho_S \leq \theta^{-1} + \varepsilon$, thus $\varrho_S \leq \theta^{-1}$ since ε is arbitrary. \square

We are now in position to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that P satisfies (D)-(M)-(SA). Then P is V -geometrically ergodic. Moreover*

$$\rho_V(P) \leq \max(r, \varrho_S) \leq \theta^{-1} < 1$$

where r , ϱ_S and θ are defined in (10), (28) and (29) respectively. More precisely

$$(i) \ \rho_V(P) = \varrho_S \leq \theta^{-1} \text{ when } r \leq \varrho_S; \quad (ii) \ \rho_V(P) \leq r \text{ when } r > \varrho_S.$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. We have

$$T_n - \mu_n(\cdot)\Pi 1_S = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k(\cdot)(P^{n-k}1_S - \Pi 1_S)$$

from (7) and (13). From Proposition 5.2 we know that $\varrho_S < 1$. Let $\gamma \in (r, 1)$, $\varrho \in (\varrho_S, 1)$. Set $\alpha := \max(\gamma, \varrho)$, and define $D_\varrho := \sup_{n \geq 0} \varrho^{-n} \|P^n 1_S - \Pi 1_S\|_V < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n - \mu_n(\cdot)\Pi 1_S\|_V &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|\beta_k\|'_V \|P^{n-k}1_S - \Pi 1_S\|_V \leq \nu(V)C_\gamma D_\varrho \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma^{k-1} \varrho^{n-k} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu(V)C_\gamma D_\varrho}{\gamma} n \alpha^n \end{aligned}$$

from (15) and from the definitions of D_ϱ and α . Moreover note that

$$\|\mu_n(\cdot)\Pi 1_S - \Pi\|_V = \|\pi(1_S)\mu_n(\cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|'_V \leq \frac{LC_\gamma}{1-\delta} \gamma^n$$

from (22). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^n - \Pi\|_V &\leq \|P^n - T_n\|_V + \|T_n - \mu_n(\cdot)\Pi 1_S\|_V + \|\mu_n(\cdot)\Pi 1_S - \Pi\|_V \\ &\leq C_\gamma \gamma^n + \frac{\nu(V)C_\gamma D_\varrho}{\gamma} n \alpha^n + \frac{LC_\gamma}{1-\delta} \gamma^n \end{aligned}$$

from Corollary 2.1 and from the previous inequalities. It follows from the definition of $\rho_V(P)$ in (5) that $\rho_V(P) \leq \alpha$, thus P is V -geometrically ergodic. Next, since γ and ϱ are arbitrarily close to r and ϱ_S respectively, we obtain that $\rho_V(P) \leq \max(r, \varrho_S)$. Inequality $\max(r, \varrho_S) \leq \theta^{-1}$ holds since $\varrho_S \leq \theta^{-1}$ from Proposition 5.2 and since $r \leq \theta^{-1}$ from the definition of θ . Next, if $r \leq \varrho_S$, then $\rho_V(P) \leq \varrho_S$, thus $\rho_V(P) = \varrho_S$ since $\varrho_S \leq \rho_V(P)$ from the definitions of $\rho_V(P)$ and ϱ_S . This gives (i)-(ii). \square

Remark 5.1 *As already mentioned, the geometric approximation (12) as well as the geometrical rate for $\|\beta_k\|'_V$ in (15) are central in the proof of Proposition 5.2. Indeed this ensures that the radius of convergence of both power series $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot)$ in (30) are larger than $1/r$. In this regard note that, if the function $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ in (29) has no zero in the annulus $\{1 < |z| < 1/r\}$, then $\rho_V(P) \leq r$ from Theorem 5.1 since $\theta = 1/r$ in this case. By contrast, if $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(\cdot)$ has a zero in the annulus $\{1 < |z| < 1/r\}$, then Inequality $\rho_V(P) > r$ may occur: in this case the convergence rate $O((r+\varepsilon)^n)$ in both inequalities (12) and (18) is better than $O((\rho_V(P)+\varepsilon)^n)$ in (3).*

Remark 5.2 *The main results of this paper extend when conditions **(D)**-**(M)**-**(SA)** hold for some iterate P^N with $N > 1$ (in place of P). Indeed Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 then apply to P^N . In the same way Theorem 5.1 asserts that P^N is V -geometrically ergodic, provided that the small set associated with P^N satisfies Assumption **(SA)**. In particular P^N has a unique invariant probability π which is also P -invariant. Then it easily follows that P is V -geometrically ergodic with spectral gap $\rho_V(P) = (\rho_V(P^N))^{1/N}$.*

Under the assumptions **(D)**-**(M)**-**(SA)**, recall that the renewal theory is used in [Bax05] to investigate the V -geometric ergodicity of Markov chains with an atom S and to obtain an upper bound of the spectral gap. The extension to the general case is then derived by applying the atomic techniques to the split chain [Bax05]. In our work no intermediate Markov chain is required. Indeed the power series $B_{1_{\mathbb{X}}}(z)$ and $B_{1_S}(z)$ of Proposition 4.1, from which the bound of $\rho_V(P)$ is derived in Theorem 5.1, can be defined in the general case. Let us mention that the bound of $\rho_V(P)$ in Theorem 5.1 can be specified by using more sophisticated spectral arguments due to quasi-compactness. This work is in progress and such a discussion is beyond the scope of this paper.

A Existence of π in a separable complete metric state space

The following result gives the existence of a P -invariant probability under the drift condition **(D)**, even under the weaker condition **(WD)** : $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$, $\exists L > 0$, $PV \leq \delta V + L1_{\mathbb{X}}$. A proof is provided since we do not succeed in finding simple arguments for this statement in the literature.

Proposition A.1 *Let (\mathbb{X}, d) be a separable complete metric space and $V : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be a continuous function such that the set $\{V \leq \alpha\}$ is compact for every $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$. If P satisfies Condition **(WD)**, then there exists a P -invariant probability measure π such that $\pi(V) < \infty$.*

Proof. We know from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that P is power-bounded on \mathcal{B}_V . Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$. Then $K := \sup_n (P^n V)(x_0) < \infty$. Let π_n , $n \geq 1$, be the probability measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X})$ defined by: $\forall B \in \mathcal{X}$, $\pi_n(1_B) = (1/n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (P^k 1_B)(x_0)$. Then Markov's inequality gives: $\forall n \geq 1$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, +\infty)$, $\pi_n(1_{\{V > \alpha\}}) \leq \pi_n(V)/\alpha \leq K/\alpha$. Thus the sequence $(\pi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is tight, and we can select a subsequence $(\pi_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converging to a probability measure π , which is clearly P -invariant. For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set $V_p(\cdot) = \min(V(\cdot), p)$. Then $\forall k \geq 0$, $\forall p \geq 0$, $\pi_{n_k}(V_p) \leq \pi_{n_k}(V) \leq K$. Since V_p is continuous and bounded on \mathbb{X} , we obtain: $\forall p \geq 0$, $\lim_k \pi_{n_k}(V_p) = \pi(V_p) \leq K$. The monotone convergence theorem then gives $\pi(V) < \infty$. \square

References

- [Bax05] P. H. Baxendale. Renewal theory and computable convergence rates for geometrically ergodic Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 15(1B):700–738, 2005.
- [HL14] L. Hervé and J. Ledoux. Approximating Markov chains and V -geometric ergodicity via weak perturbation theory. *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 124:613–638, 2014.
- [HL19] L. Hervé and J. Ledoux. Asymptotic of products of markov kernels. application to deterministic and random forward/backward products. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02354594>, submitted, 2019.
- [HM11] M. Hairer and J. C. Mattingly. Yet another look at harris’ ergodic theorem for markov chains. In Robert Dalang, Marco Dozzi, and Francesco Russo, editors, *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications VI*, pages 109–117, Basel, 2011. Springer Basel.
- [KM03] I. Kontoyiannis and S. P. Meyn. Spectral theory and limit theorems for geometrically ergodic Markov processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 13(1):304–362, 2003.
- [KR50] M. G. Kreĭn and M. A. Rutman. Linear operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space. *Amer. Math. Soc. Translation*, 1950(26):128, 1950.
- [LC14] M. E. Lladser and S. R. Chestnut. Approximation of sojourn-times via maximal couplings: motif frequency distributions. *J. Math. Biol.*, 69(1):147–182, 2014.
- [MN91] P. Meyer-Nieberg. *Banach lattices*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [MT93] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. *Markov chains and stochastic stability*. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 1993.
- [MT94] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Computable bounds for geometric convergence rates of Markov chains. *Ann. Probab.*, 4:981–1011, 1994.
- [Num84] E. Nummelin. *General irreducible Markov chains and nonnegative operators*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [RR04] G. O. Roberts and J. S. Rosenthal. General state space Markov chains and MCMC algorithms. *Probab. Surv.*, 1:20–71, 2004.