Additional material on bounds of ℓ^2-spectral gap for discrete Markov chains with band transition matrices

Loïc Hervé, James Ledoux

Computable bounds of spectral gap for discrete Markov chains with band transition matrices

Loïc HERVÉ, and James LEDOUX [*]

version du Saturday 7th March, 2015 – 20:39

Abstract

We analyse the $\ell^2(\pi)$-convergence rate of irreducible and aperiodic Markov chains with N-band transition probability matrix P and with invariant distribution π. This analysis is heavily based on: first the study of the essential spectral radius $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)})$ of $P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}$ derived from Hennion's quasi-compactness criteria; second the connection between the spectral gap property (SG$_2$) of P on $\ell^2(\pi)$ and the V-geometric ergodicity of P. Specifically, (SG$_2$) is shown to hold under the condition

$$\alpha_0 := \sum_{m=-N}^{N} \limsup_{i \to +\infty} \sqrt{P(i, i+m)\, P^*(i+m, i)} \; < 1.$$

Moreover $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \le \alpha_0$. Simple conditions on asymptotic properties of P and of its invariant probability distribution π to ensure that $\alpha_0 < 1$ are given. In particular this allows us to obtain estimates of the $\ell^2(\pi)$-geometric convergence rate of random walks with bounded increments. The specific case of reversible P is also addressed. Numerical bounds on the convergence rate can be provided via a truncation procedure. This is illustrated on the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm.

AMS subject classification : 60J10; 47B07

Keywords : Rate of convergence, ℓ^2-spectral gap, V-geometric ergodicity, Essential spectral radius, Metropolis-Hastings algorithm.

1   Introduction

Let $P := (P(i,j))_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{X}^2}$ be a Markov kernel on a countable state space $\mathbb{X}$. For the sake of simplicity we suppose that $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{N}$. Throughout the paper we assume that P is irreducible

[*]INSA de Rennes, IRMAR, F-35042, France; CNRS, UMR 6625, Rennes, F-35708, France; Université Européenne de Bretagne, France. {Loic.Herve,James.Ledoux}@insa-rennes.fr

and aperiodic, that P has a unique invariant probability measure denoted by $\pi := (\pi(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (observe that $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ \pi(i) > 0$ from irreducibility), and finally that

$$\exists i_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \forall i \geq i_0 \ : \quad |i - j| > N \implies P(i, j) = 0. \tag{AS1}$$

We denote by $(\ell^2(\pi), \|\cdot\|_2)$ the usual Hilbert space of sequences $(f(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|f\|_2 := [\sum_{i \geq 0} |f(i)|^2 \pi(i)]^{1/2} < \infty$. It is well-known that P defines a linear contraction on $\ell^2(\pi)$, and that its adjoint operator P^* on $\ell^2(\pi)$ is defined by $P^*(i, j) := \pi(j) P(j, i) / \pi(i)$. The kernel P is said to have the spectral gap property on $\ell^2(\pi)$ at rate $\rho \in (0, 1)$ if there exists some positive constants $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $C \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \ell^2(\pi), \quad \|P^n f - \Pi f\|_2 \leq C \, \rho^n \, \|f\|_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \Pi f := \pi(f) 1_{\mathbb{N}}, \tag{SG$_2$}$$

where $\pi(f) := \sum_{i \geq 0} f(i) \pi(i)$. A relevant and standard issue is to compute the value (or to find an upper bound) of

$$\varrho_2 := \inf\{\rho \in (0, 1) : (\mathbf{SG}_2) \text{ holds true}\}. \tag{1}$$

In this work we use the quasi-compactness criteria of [Hen93] to study $(\mathbf{SG}_2)$ and to estimate ϱ_2. In Section 2 it is proved that $(\mathbf{SG}_2)$ holds when

$$\alpha_0 := \sum_{m=-N}^{N} \limsup_{i \to +\infty} \sqrt{P(i, i+m) \, P^*(i+m, i)} \ < 1. \tag{AS2}$$

Moreover $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$. The main argument to obtain this result is the Doeblin-Fortet inequality in Lemma 2. We refer to [Hen93] for the definition of the essential spectral radius $r_{ess}(T)$ (related to quasi-compactness) of a bounded linear operator T on a Banach space. In Section 3, under the following assumptions

$$\forall m = -N, \ldots, N, \quad P(i, i+m) \xrightarrow[i \to +\infty]{} a_m \in [0, 1]. \tag{AS3}$$

$$\frac{\pi(i+1)}{\pi(i)} \xrightarrow[i \to +\infty]{} \tau \in [0, 1) \tag{AS4}$$

$$\sum_{k=-N}^{N} k \, a_k < 0, \tag{NERI}$$

we establish that $(\mathbf{AS2})$ holds (hence $(\mathbf{SG}_2)$) and that α_0 can be explicitly computed in function of τ and the a_m's. Observe that $(\mathbf{NERI})$ means that the expectation of the asymptotic random increments is negative. Moreover, using the inequality $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$, Property $(\mathbf{SG}_2)$ is proved to be connected to the so-called V-geometric ergodicity of P for $V := (\pi(n)^{-1/2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which corresponds to the spectral gap property on the usual weighted-supremum space $\mathcal{B}_V$ associated with V. In particular, denoting the minimal V-geometrical ergodic rate by ϱ_V, it is proved that, either ϱ_2 and ϱ_V are both less than α_0, or $\varrho_2 = \varrho_V$. As a result, an accurate bound of ϱ_2 is obtained for random walks (RW) with i.i.d. bounded increments using the results of [HL14b]. In the reversible case (Section 4) the previous results hold under Assumptions $(\mathbf{AS3})$ and $(\mathbf{AS4})$ provided that $a_m \neq a_{-m}$ for at least one m. A first illustration to Birth-and-Death Markov chains (BDMC) is proposed in Subsection 4.1.

The reversible case naturally contains the Markov kernels associated with the Metropolis-Hastings (M-H) Algorithm. In Subsection 4.2 we observe that, if the target distribution π and the proposal kernel $Q := (Q(i,j))_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2}$ satisfy ($\mathbf{AS1}$), ($\mathbf{AS3}$) and ($\mathbf{AS4}$), then so is the associated reversible M-H kernel P, which then satisfies ($\mathbf{SG}_2$).

Estimating ϱ_2 is a difficult but relevant issue. This question is investigated in Section 5 where an accurate estimation of ϱ_2 is obtained by using the above mentioned link between ϱ_2 and ϱ_V and by applying the truncation procedure in [HL14a]. Numerical applications to discrete MCMC are presented at the end of Section 5. Bounding ϱ_2 in the reversible case is of special interest since ($\mathbf{SG}_2$) holds in this case with $C = 1$ and $\rho = \varrho_2$.

The spectral gap property for Markov processes has been widely investigated in the discrete and continuous-time cases (e.g. see [Ros71] for discrete-time, [Che04] for continuous-time, and [CG13] for dynamical systems). We point out that there exist different definitions of the spectral gap property according that we are concerned with discrete or continuous-time case. A simple and concise presentation about this difference is proposed in [Yue00, MS13]. The focus of our paper is on the discrete time case. In the reversible case, the equivalence between the geometrical ergodicity and ($\mathbf{SG}_2$) is proved in [RR97] and Inequality $\varrho_2 \leq \varrho_V$ is obtained in [Bax05, Th.6.1.]. This equivalence fails in the non-reversible case (see [KM12]). The link between ϱ_2 and ϱ_V stated in our Proposition 1 is obtained with no reversibility condition. The works [SW11, Wüb12] provide formulae for ϱ_2 in terms of isoperimetric constants which are related to P in reversible case and to P and P^* in non-reversible case. However, to the best of our knowledge, no explicit value (or upper bounds) of ϱ_2 can be derived from these formulae for discrete Markov chains with band transition matrices. For instance ($\mathbf{SG}_2$) is proved to hold in [Wüb12] for RW with i.d. bounded increments satisfying ($\mathbf{NERI}$) and a weak reversibility condition, but no explicit bounds for ϱ_2 are derived from isoperimetric constants. For such RWs, our method gives the exact value of ϱ_2 with no reversibility assumption (see Examples 1 and 2). Concerning BDMCs, recall that the decay parameter of P, which equals to ϱ_2 for these models (see [vDS95]), is only known for specific instances of BDMC (see Remark 3 for details). In the context of discrete MCMC, no satisfactory bound for ϱ_2 was known to the best of our knowledge, except for special instances as the simulation of a geometric distribution corresponding to a simple BDMC (see [MT96, Ex. 2]). The bounds for ϱ_2 obtained in Section 5 for discrete MCMC via truncation procedure applies to any target distribution π satisfying ($\mathbf{AS4}$) when the proposal kernel Q satisfies ($\mathbf{AS1}$) and ($\mathbf{AS3}$). The accuracy of our estimation in Section 5 depends on the order k of the used truncated finite matrix P_k (see Tables 2 and 3). Our explicit bound $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$ in Theorem 1 for discrete Markov chains with band transition matrices is the preliminary key results in this work. Recall that $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)})$ is a natural lower bound of ϱ_2 (see [HL14b, Prop. 2.1] with $\ell^2(\pi)$ in place of $\mathcal{B}_V$). The essential spectral radius of Markov operators on a $\mathbb{L}^2$-type space is investigated for discrete-time Markov chains with general state space in [Wu04] (see also [GW06]), but no explicit bound for $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)})$ can be derived a priori from these theoretical results for discrete Markov chains with band transition matrices, except Inequality $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V})$ in the reversible case (see [Wu04, Th. 5.5.]). Finally recall that, for any Markov chain $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with transition kernel P satisfying ($\mathbf{SG}_2$), the Berry-Esseen theorem and the first-order Edgeworth expansion apply to additive functional of $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ under the expected third-order moment condition, see [FHL12].

2 (SG$_2$) under Assumption (AS1) on P

Theorem 1 *If Condition* (**AS2**) *holds, then P satisfies* (**SG$_2$**). *Moreover* $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$.

Proof. Let $\ell^1(\pi)$ denote the usual Banach space of sequences $(f(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following condition: $\|f\|_1 := \sum_{i \geq 0} |f(i)| \, \pi(i) \, < \infty$.

Lemma 1 *The identity map is compact from $\ell^2(\pi)$ into $\ell^1(\pi)$.*

Lemma 2 *For any $\alpha > \alpha_0$, there exists a positive constant $L \equiv L(\alpha)$ such that*

$$\forall f \in \ell^2(\pi), \quad \|Pf\|_2 \leq \alpha \, \|f\|_2 + L\|f\|_1.$$

It follows from these lemmas and from [Hen93] that P is quasi-compact on $\ell^2(\pi)$ with $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha$. Since α can be chosen arbitrarily close to α_0, this gives $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$. Then (**SG$_2$**) is deduced from aperiodicity and irreducibility assumptions. $\square$

Lemma 1 follows from the Cantor diagonal procedure.

Proof of Lemma 2. Under Assumption (**AS1**) we define

$$\forall i \geq i_0, \; \forall m = -N, \ldots, N, \quad \beta_m(i) := \sqrt{P(i, i+m) \, P^*(i+m, i)}. \tag{2}$$

Let $\alpha > \alpha_0$, with α_0 given in (**AS2**). Fix $\ell \equiv \ell(\alpha) \geq i_0$ such that $\sum_{m=-N}^{N} \sup_{i \geq \ell} \beta_m(i) \leq \alpha$. For $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$ we have from Minkowski's inequality and the band structure of P for $i \geq \ell$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|Pf\|_2 \; &\leq \; \left[\sum_{i < \ell} |(Pf)(i)|^2 \pi(i) \right]^{1/2} + \left[\sum_{i \geq \ell} \left| \sum_{m=-N}^{N} P(i, i+m) \, f(i+m) \right|^2 \pi(i) \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq \; C_\ell \sum_{i < \ell} |(Pf)(i)| \, \pi(i) + \left[\sum_{i \geq \ell} \left| \sum_{m=-N}^{N} P(i, i+m) \, f(i+m) \right|^2 \pi(i) \right]^{1/2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_\ell > 0$ is derived from equivalent norms on the space $\mathbb{C}^\ell$. Note that $\sum_{i < \ell} |(Pf)(i)| \, \pi(i) \leq \|Pf\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ so that setting $L := C_\ell$

$$\|Pf\|_2 \; \leq \; L\|f\|_1 + \left[\sum_{i \geq \ell} \left| \sum_{m=-N}^{N} P(i, i+m) \, f(i+m) \right|^2 \pi(i) \right]^{1/2}. \tag{3}$$

It remains to obtain the expected control of the second terms in the right hand side of (3). For $m = -N, \ldots, N$, let us define $F_m = (F_m(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\pi)$ by

$$
F_m(i) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < \ell \\ P(i, i+m) \, f(i+m) & \text{if } i \geq \ell. \end{cases}
$$

4

Then

$$\left[\sum_{i\geq\ell}\left|\sum_{m=-N}^{N}P(i,i+m)\,f(i+m)\right|^{2}\pi(i)\right]^{1/2}=\|\sum_{m=-N}^{N}F_{m}\|_{2}$$

$$\leq\sum_{m=-N}^{N}\|F_{m}\|_{2}=\sum_{m=-N}^{N}\left[\sum_{i\geq\ell}P(i,i+m)^{2}\,|f(i+m)|^{2}\pi(i)\right]^{1/2}$$

$$=\sum_{m=-N}^{N}\left[\sum_{i\geq\ell}P(i,i+m)\frac{\pi(i)\,P(i,i+m)}{\pi_{i+m}}\,|f(i+m)|^{2}\pi_{i+m}\right]^{1/2}\quad\text{(from the definition of }P^{*})$$

$$\leq\sum_{m=-N}^{N}\left(\sup_{i\geq\ell}\beta_{m}(i)\right)\left[\sum_{i\geq\ell}|f(i+m)|^{2}\pi_{i+m}\right]^{1/2}\quad\text{(from (2))}$$

$$\leq\left(\sum_{m=-N}^{N}\sup_{i\geq\ell}\beta_{m}(i)\right)\|f\|_{2}.$$

The statement in Lemma 2 can be deduced from the previous inequality and from (3). $\qquad\square$

3 (SG$_2$) and geometric ergodicity. Application to RWs with i.d. bounded increments

We specify Theorem 1 in terms of $V-$geometric ergodicity for $V:=(\pi(n)^{-1/2})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $(\mathcal{B}_{V},\|\cdot\|_{V})$ denote the weighted-supremum space of sequences $(g(n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|g\|_{V}:=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}V(n)^{-1}\,|g(n)|<\infty$. Recall that P is said to be V-geometrically ergodic if P satisfies the spectral gap property on $\mathcal{B}_{V}$, namely: there exists $C\in(0,+\infty)$ and $\rho\in(0,1)$ such that

$$\forall n\geq 1,\forall f\in\mathcal{B}_{V},\quad\|P^{n}f-\Pi f\|_{V}\leq C\,\rho^{n}\,\|f\|_{V}.\qquad(\mathbf{SG}_{V})$$

When this property holds, we define

$$\varrho_{V}:=\inf\{\rho\in(0,1):(\mathbf{SG}_{V})\text{ holds true}\}.\qquad(4)$$

Remark 1 *Under Assumptions* (**AS3**) *and* (**AS4**), *we have*

$$\alpha_{0}:=\sum_{m=-N}^{N}\limsup_{i\to+\infty}\sqrt{P(i,i+m)\,P^{*}(i+m,i)}=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{m=-N}^{N}a_{m}\,\tau^{-m/2}&\text{if }\ \tau\in(0,1)\\[2mm]a_{0}&\text{if }\ \tau=0,\end{cases}\qquad(5)$$

Indeed, if (**AS4**) *holds with* $\tau\in(0,1)$, *then the claimed formula follows from the definition of* $P^{*}(\cdot,\cdot)$. *If* $\tau=0$ *in* (**AS4**), *then* $a_{m}=0$ *for every* $m=1,\ldots,N$ *since the invariance of* π *gives* $\sum_{m=-N}^{N}P(i+m,i)\,\pi(i+m)/\pi(i)=1$. *Thus* $a_{-m}=0$ *when* $m<0$. *Hence* $\alpha_{0}=a_{0}$.

Proposition 1 *If* P *and* π *satisfy Assumptions* (**AS3**), (**AS4**) *and* (**NERI**), *then* P *satisfies* (**AS2**) *(and* $\alpha_{0}<1$ *with* α_{0} *given in (5)). Moreover* P *satisfies both* (**SG$_2$**) *and* (**SG$_V$**), *we have* $\max(r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_{V}}),r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^{2}(\pi)}))\leq\alpha_{0}$, *and the following assertions hold:*

(a) if $\varrho_V \leq \alpha_0$, then $\varrho_2 \leq \alpha_0$;

(b) if $\varrho_V > \alpha_0$, then $\varrho_2 = \varrho_V$.

Proof. If $\tau = 0$ in (**AS4**), then $\alpha_0 = a_0 < 1$ from (5) and (**NERI**). Now assume that (**AS4**) holds with $\tau \in (0,1)$. Then $\alpha_0 = \sum_{m=-N}^{N} a_m \tau^{-m/2} = \psi(\sqrt{\tau})$, where: $\forall t > 0$, $\psi(t) := \sum_{k=-N}^{N} a_k t^{-k}$. Moreover it easily follows from the invariance of π that $\psi(\tau) = 1$. Inequality $\alpha_0 = \psi(\sqrt{\tau}) < 1$ then follows from the following assertions: $\forall t \in (\tau, 1)$, $\psi(t) < 1$ and $\forall t \in (0,\tau) \cup (1,+\infty)$, $\psi(t) > 1$. To prove these properties, note that $\psi(\tau) = \psi(1) = 1$ and that ψ is convex on $(0,+\infty)$ since the second derivative of ψ is positive on $(0,+\infty)$. Moreover we have $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \psi(t) = +\infty$ since $a_k > 0$ for some $k < 0$ (use $\psi(\tau) = \psi(1) = 1$ and $\tau \in (0,1)$). Similarly, $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \psi(t) = +\infty$ since $a_k > 0$ for some $k > 0$. This gives the desired properties on ψ since $\psi'(1) > 0$ from (**NERI**).

(**SG$_2$**) and $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$ follow from Theorem 1. Next (**SG$_V$**) is deduced from the well-known link (see [MT93]) between geometric ergodicity and the following drift inequality:

$$\forall \alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1), \ \exists L \equiv L_\alpha > 0, \quad PV \leq \alpha V + L \, 1_{\mathbb{N}}. \tag{6}$$

This inequality holds from

$$\frac{(PV)(i)}{V(i)} = \sum_{m=-N}^{N} P(i, i+m) \left(\frac{\pi(i)}{\pi(i+m)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xrightarrow[i \to +\infty]{} \alpha_0.$$

This gives (6), from which (**SG$_V$**) is derived using aperiodicity and irreducibility. It also follows from (6) that $r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}) \leq \alpha$ (see [HL14b, Prop. 3.1]). Thus $r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}) \leq \alpha_0$.

Now we prove (a) and (b) using the spectral properties of [HL14b, Prop. 2.1] of both $P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}$ and $P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}$ (due to quasi-compactness). We will also use the following obvious inclusion: $\ell^2(\pi) \subset \mathcal{B}_V$. In particular every eigenvalue of $P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}$ is also an eigenvalue for $P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}$. First assume that $\varrho_V \leq \alpha_0$. Then there is no eigenvalue for $P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}$ in the annulus $\Gamma := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha_0 < |\lambda| < 1\}$ since $r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}) \leq \alpha_0$. From $\ell^2(\pi) \subset \mathcal{B}_V$ it follows that there is also no eigenvalue for $P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}$ in this annulus. Hence $\varrho_2 \leq \alpha_0$ since $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$. Second assume that $\varrho_V > \alpha_0$. Then $P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}$ admits an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| = \varrho_V$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_V$, $f \neq 0$, such that $Pf = \lambda f$. We know from [HL14b, Prop. 2.2] that there exists some $\beta \equiv \beta_\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $|f(n)| = O(V(n)^\beta) = O(\pi(n)^{-\beta/2})$, so that $|f(n)|^2 \pi(n) = O(\pi(n)^{(1-\beta)})$, thus $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$ from (**AS4**). We have proved that $\varrho_2 \geq \varrho_V$. Finally the converse inequality is true since every eigenvalue of $P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}$ is an eigenvalue for $P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}$. Thus $\varrho_2 = \varrho_V$. □

Example 1 (RWs with i.d. bounded increments) *Let P be defined as follows. There*

6

exist some positive integers $c, g, d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$\forall i \in \{0, \ldots, g-1\}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{c} P(i,j) = 1; \tag{7a}$$

$$\forall i \geq g, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad P(i,j) = \begin{cases} a_{j-i} & \text{if } i-g \leq j \leq i+d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{7b}$$

$$(a_{-g}, \ldots, a_d) \in [0,1]^{g+d+1} : a_{-g} > 0, \ a_d > 0, \ \sum_{k=-g}^{d} a_k = 1. \tag{7c}$$

*We assume that P is aperiodic and irreducible, and that Assumtion (**NERI**) holds, that is: $\sum_{k=-g}^{d} k\, a_k < 0$. Then P admits a unique invariant distribution π, and the conclusions of Proposition 1 hold. Moreover it can be derived from standard results of linear difference equation that $\pi(n) \sim c\,\tau^n$ when $n \to +\infty$, with $\tau \in (0,1)$ defined by $\psi(\tau) = 1$, where $\psi(t) := \sum_{k=-N}^{N} a_k\, t^{-k}$. Thus, if $\gamma := \tau^{-1/2}$, then $\mathcal{B}_V = \{(g(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma^{-n} |g(n)| < \infty\}$. Then we know from [HL14b, Prop. 3.2] that $r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}) = \alpha_0$ with α_0 given in (5), and that ϱ_V can be computed from an algebraic polynomial elimination. More precisely, the procedure in [HL14b] developed for a special value $\hat{\gamma}$ can be applied for $\gamma := \tau^{-1/2}$ by considering $\Gamma := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \psi(\sqrt{\tau}) < |\lambda| < 1\}$. When Assertion (b) of Proposition 1 applies, we obtain the exact value of ϱ_2 (see Example 2). Property (**SG**$_2$) is proved in [Wüb12, Th. 2] under an extra weak reversibility assumption (with no explicit bound on ϱ_2). However, except in case $g = d = 1$ where reversibility is automatic, a RW with i.d. bounded increments is not reversible or even weak reversible in general. Note that no reversibility condition is required in Proposition 1.*

Example 2 (Numerical examples in case $g = 2$ and $d = 1$) *Let P be defined by*

$$P(0,0) = a \in (0,1), \quad P(0,1) = 1-a, \quad P(1,0) = b \in (0,1), \quad P(1,2) = 1-b \tag{8}$$

$$\forall n \geq 2, \ P(n, n-2) = 1/2, \ P(n, n-1) = 1/3, \ P(n,n) = 0, \ P(n, n+1) = 1/6. \tag{9}$$

*The form of boundary probabilities in (8) and the special values in (9) are chosen for convenience. Other (finitely many) boundary probabilities in (8) and other values in (9) could be considered provided that P is irreducible and aperiodic and that $(a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1)$ satisfies $a_{-2}, a_1 > 0$ and (**NERI**) i.e. $a_1 < 2a_{-2} + a_{-1}$. Here the fonction ψ is given by: $\psi(t) := t^2/2 + t/3 + 1/6t = 1 + (t-1)(t^2 - 5t/3 - 1/3)/2t$. Then function $\psi(\cdot) - 1$ has a unique zero over $(0,1)$ which is $\tau = (\sqrt{37} - 5)/6 \approx 0.1805$ and $\alpha_0 = \psi(\sqrt{\tau}) \approx 0.6242$. Let $\gamma := 1/\sqrt{\tau} \approx 2.3540$ and $V := (\gamma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Using the procedure from [HL14b] and Proposition 1, we give in Table 1 the values of α_0, ϱ_V and ϱ_2 for this instance.*

Remark 2 *If (**AS4**) in Proposition 1 is reinforced by the condition $\pi(n) \sim c\,\tau^n$ when $n \to +\infty$ with $\tau \in (0,1)$ (e.g. see Example 1), then let us consider $\mathcal{B}_V = \{(g(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}, \ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma^{-n} |g(n)| < \infty\}$ with $\gamma := \tau^{-1/2}$. Then we deduce from [HL14b, Prop. 3.2] that $r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}) = \alpha_0$ with α_0 given in (5), so that $\varrho_V \leq \alpha_0$ implies that $\varrho_V = \alpha_0$ since $\varrho_V \geq r_{ess}(P_{|\mathcal{B}_V})$. Then it follows from Proposition 1 that $\varrho_2 \leq \varrho_V$ and that this inequality is an equality when $\varrho_V > \alpha_0$. The passage from (**SG**$_V$) to (**SG**$_2$) and the inequality $\varrho_2 \leq \varrho_V$ was established in [RR97, Bax05] for general reversible V-geometrically ergodic Markov kernels. Again note that no reversibility condition is assumed in Proposition 1.*

(a,b)	α_0	ρ_V	ϱ_2
$(1/2, 1/2)$	0.624	0.624	≤ 0.624
$(1/10, 1/10)$	0.624	0.688	0.688
$(1/50, 1/50)$	0.624	0.757	0.757

Table 1: Convergence rate on $\ell^2(\pi)$ for different boundary transition probabilities (a,b)

4 Applications to the reversible case

The reversible case corresponds to the condition $P = P^*$ (i.e. P is self-adjoint in $\ell^2(\pi)$), namely: $\forall (i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $\pi(i) P(i,j) = \pi(j) P(j,i)$ (detailed balance condition). Then $(\mathbf{SG_2})$ is equivalent to the condition $\varrho_2 = \|P - \Pi\|_2 < 1$, where $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes here the operator norm on $\ell^2(\pi)$. Thus, when $(\mathbf{SG_2})$ holds in the reversible case, we have $C = 1$ and $\rho = \varrho_2$, that is

$$\forall n \geq 1, \ \forall f \in \ell^2(\pi), \quad \|P^n f - \pi(f)\mathbf{1}\|_2 \leq \varrho_2^n \|f\|_2. \tag{10}$$

Corollary 1 *If P is reversible, then:*

1. *P satisfies $(\mathbf{SG_2})$ and $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$ under $(\mathbf{AS2})$, with:*

$$\alpha_0 := \sum_{m=-N}^{N} \left(\limsup_{i \to +\infty} \sqrt{P(i, i+m) \, P(i+m, i)} \right) \ < 1.$$

2. *If Condition $(\mathbf{AS3})$ holds true, then*

$$\alpha_0 = 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left(\sqrt{a_m} - \sqrt{a_{-m}} \right)^2. \tag{11}$$

   Consequently, if $a_m \neq a_{-m}$ for at least one $m \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, then P satisfies $(\mathbf{AS2})$.

3. *If P satisfies $(\mathbf{AS3})$ and if π satisfies $(\mathbf{AS4})$ with $\tau \in (0,1)$, then $a_m \neq a_{-m}$ for each $m \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and the conclusions of Proposition 1 hold with α_0 given in (11).*

4. *If P satisfies $(\mathbf{AS3})$ with $a_0 < 1$ and if π satisfies $(\mathbf{AS4})$ with $\tau = 0$, then the conclusions of Proposition 1 hold.*

Keep in mind that all our results are stated for positive recurrent Markov kernels. For instance, for Markov chain associated with $P(i, i-1) := p, P(i,i) := r, P(i, i+1) := q$ where $p+r+q = 1$, Formula (11) is $\alpha_0 = 1 - (\sqrt{q} - \sqrt{p})^2$, but the existence of π is only guaranteed when $p > q$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 1 and reversibility. Next $(\mathbf{AS3})$ gives $\alpha_0 = \sum_{m=-N}^{N} \sqrt{a_m a_{-m}}$, hence Assertion 2. since $\sum_{m=-N}^{N} a_m = 1$. If moreover $(\mathbf{AS4})$ holds with $\tau \in (0,1)$, then $a_m \neq a_{-m}$ for every $m \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ since $\tau^m a_{-m} = a_m$ from the balance condition. Thus, under $(\mathbf{AS3})$ and $(\mathbf{AS4})$ with $\tau \in (0,1)$, we obtain from Assertion 2. that $\alpha_0 < 1$. Moreover, since the real numbers α_0 given in (11) and in (5) are equal, all the spectral properties obtained in Proposition 1 remain valid. Idem for Assertion 4. from Remark 1. $\square$

4.1 Birth-and-Death Markov chains (BDMC)

The transition kernel $P := (P(i,j))_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ of a Birth-and-Death Markov chains is defined by

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} r_0 & q_0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ p_1 & r_1 & q_1 & \ddots & \\ 0 & p_2 & r_2 & q_2 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Recall that, under the following conditions

$$r_0 < 1, \qquad \forall i \geq 1, \quad 0 < q_i, p_i < 1, \quad S := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{q_{j-1}}{p_j} < \infty, \tag{13}$$

P is irreducible, aperiodic and π (unique) is given by: $\pi(0) = 1/S$, $\pi(i) = (\prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{q_{j-1}}{p_j})/S$. Moreover it is well-known that P is reversible w.r.t. π. Finally Condition (**AS2**) writes as:

$$\alpha_0 := \limsup_i \sqrt{p_i q_{i-1}} + \limsup_i r_i + \limsup_i \sqrt{q_i p_{i+1}} < 1. \tag{14}$$

Consequently, under Conditions (13) and (14), P satisfies (**SG$_2$**) and $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$. In particular, if the sequences $(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, $(r_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in (12) admit a limit when $i \to +\infty$, say p, r, q, then (**SG$_2$**) holds provided that $p > q$. Moreover $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq 1 - (\sqrt{p} - \sqrt{q})^2$.

Example 3 (State-independent BDMC)

Let P given by (12) such that, for any $i \geq 1$, $p_i := p$, $r_i := r$, $q_i := q$, with $p, q, r \in [0,1]$ such that $p + r + q = 1$ and $p > q > 0$. Let $r_0 \in (0,1)$ and $\beta_0 := 1 - q - \sqrt{pq}$. The bounds for ϱ_V with $V(n) := (p/q)^{n/2}$ can be derived from [HL14b, Prop. 4.1], so that (Corollary 1):

- *if $r_0 \in [\beta_0, 1)$, then $\varrho_2 \leq r + 2\sqrt{pq}$;*

- *if $r_0 \in (0, \beta_0]$, then :*

  (a) in case $2p \leq \left(1 - q + \sqrt{pq}\right)^2$: $\varrho_2 \leq r + 2\sqrt{pq}$;

  (b) in case $2p > \left(1 - q + \sqrt{pq}\right)^2$, setting $\beta_1 := p - \sqrt{pq} - \sqrt{r\left(r + 2\sqrt{pq}\right)}$:

$$\varrho_2 = \left| r_0 + \frac{p(1 - r_0)}{r_0 - 1 + q} \right| \quad \text{when } r_0 \in (0, \beta_1] \tag{15a}$$

$$\varrho_2 \leq r + 2\sqrt{pq} \qquad \text{when } r_0 \in [\beta_1, \beta_0). \tag{15b}$$

Remark 3 (Discussion on the $\ell^2(\pi)$-spectral gap and the decay parameter)
Let P be a BDMC satisfying (13). It can be proved that the decay parameter of P, denoted by γ in [vDS95] but by γ_{DS} here to avoid confusion, equals to ϱ_2, that is (from reversibility): $\gamma_{DS} = \varrho_2 = \|P - \Pi\|_2$. But note that γ_{DS} is only known for specific instances of BDMC from [vDS95] (see [Kov10] for a recent contribution). For a general Markov kernel P, we only have (see also [Pop77, Isa79]) $\gamma_{DS} \leq \varrho_2$. In particular, the decay parameter does not provide information on non-reversible RWs with i.d. bounded increments of Section 3.

4.2 The Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm

Let $\pi = (\pi(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (target distribution) be a probability measure on $\mathbb{N}$ known up to a multiplicative constant. Let $Q := (Q(i,j))_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ (proposal kernel) be any transition kernel on $\mathbb{N}$. The associated Metropolis-Hastings (M-H) Markov kernel $P := (P(i,j))_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ is defined by

$$P(i,j) := \begin{cases} \min\left(Q(i,j)\,,\, \frac{\pi(j)\,Q(j,i)}{\pi(i)}\right) & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P(i,\ell) & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that P is reversible with respect to π and that π is P-invariant.

Corollary 2 *Assume that $\pi(i) > 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and that π satisfies (**AS4**) with $\tau \in (0,1)$. Assume that Q is an aperiodic and irreducible Markov kernel on $\mathbb{N}$ such that for every $(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $Q(i,j) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(j,i) = 0$, satisfying (**AS1**) and the following condition (see (**AS3**))*

$$\forall m = -N, \ldots, N, \quad q_m := \lim_{i \to +\infty} Q(i, i+m). \tag{16}$$

*Then the associated M-H kernel P satisfies (**SG₂**) and $r_{ess}(P_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0$ with*

$$\alpha_0 := 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left(\sqrt{p_m} - \sqrt{p_{-m}}\right)^2 \quad \text{where} \quad p_k := \begin{cases} \min\left(q_k\,,\, \tau^k q_{-k}\right) & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \left(p_\ell + p_{-\ell}\right) & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

*If (**AS4**) holds with $\tau = 0$, then $p_m = 0$ for every $m = 1, \ldots, N$, and the above conclusions holds true with $\alpha_0 := p_0$ when $p_0 < 1$.*

Proof. It is well-known that P is irreducible and aperiodic under the basic assumptions on Q. If Q satisfies (**AS1**) for some N, then so is P (with the same N). Assumption (**AS3**) holds for P: $\lim_{i \to +\infty} P(i, i+m) = p_m$ with p_m defined in (17). Then apply Corollary 1. $\square$

Example 4 *Assume that π (possibly known up to a multiplicative constant) is such that $\pi(i) > 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and satisfies (**AS4**). Let Q be a transition kernel on $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying*

$$Q(0,0) := r < 1, \; Q(0,1) := 1-r, \quad \forall i \geq 1, \quad Q(i, i-1) = q, \quad Q(i,i) = 1-2q, \quad Q(i, i+1) = q$$

for some $q \in (0, 1/2]$. The associated M-H Markov kernel $P^{(q)}$ is given by $P^{(q)}(0,1) = \min(1 - r\,,\, q\,\pi(1)/\pi(0))$ and

$$\forall i \geq 1, \quad P^{(q)}(i, i-1) = q \min\left(1, \frac{\pi(i-1)}{\pi(i)}\right) \quad P^{(q)}(i, i+1) = q \min\left(1, \frac{\pi(i+1)}{\pi(i)}\right)$$

$$P^{(q)}(i,i) := 1 - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P^{(q)}(i, \ell).$$

*The conditions of Corollary 2 are trivially satisfied. Then $P^{(q)}$ satisfies (**SG₂**). Next $\alpha_0 \equiv \alpha_0(q)$ in (17) is*

$$\alpha_0(q) = 1 - q(1 - \sqrt{\tau})^2 \qquad (18)$$

since the p_m's in (17) are given by $p_{-1} = q$, $p_0 = 1-q-q\tau$, $p_1 = q\tau$. When $q \in (0, 1/2]$, $\alpha_0(q)$ is minimal for $q = 1/2$, thus $q = 1/2$ provides the minimal bound for $r_{ess}(P^{(q)}_{|\ell^2(\pi)})$ The relevant question is to find $q \in (0, 1/2]$ providing the minimal value of $\varrho_2 \equiv \varrho_2(q)$ (See Example 6).

Example 5 (Simulation of Poisson distribution with parameter 1) *Let π be the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda := 1$, defined by $\pi(i) := \exp(-1)/i!$. Then (**AS4**) holds with $\tau = 0$. Introduce the proposal kernel Q of Example 4 with $r := 1/2$ and $q := 1/2$. The associated M-H kernel P is given by $P^{(q)}(0,0) = P^{(q)}(0,1) = 1/2$ and*

$$\forall i \geq 1, \ P^{(q)}(i, i-1) = \frac{1}{2} \quad P^{(q)}(i,i) = \frac{i}{2(i+1)}, \quad P^{(q)}(i, i+1) = \frac{1}{2(i+1)}.$$

*We know from Example 4 that $P^{(q)}$ satisfies (**SG**$_2$) and $r_{ess}(P^{(q)}_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0 = 1/2$. The rate of convergence $\varrho_2 \equiv \varrho_2(q)$ of $P^{(q)}$ is studied in Example 7.*

5 Bound for ϱ_2 via truncation and numerical applications

Let us consider the following k-th truncated (and augmented) matrix P_k associated with P:

$$\forall (i,j) \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}^2, \quad P_k(i,j) := \begin{cases} P(i,j) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq k-2 \\ \sum_{\ell \geq k-1} P(i, \ell) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \text{ and } j = k-1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\sigma(P_k)$ denote the set of eigenvalues of P_k, and define $\rho_k := \max\{|\lambda|, \lambda \in \sigma(P_k), |\lambda| < 1\}$.

Recall that $V(i) := \pi(i)^{-1/2}$ and that ϱ_V is defined in (4). The statement below follows from Proposition 1 and from the weak perturbation method in [HL14a] applied to $P_{|\mathcal{B}_V}$, for which the drift inequality (6) plays an important role.

Proposition 2 *If P satisfies (**AS3**), (**AS4**) and (**NERI**), then the following properties holds with α_0 given in (5):*

(a) $\varrho_2 \leq \alpha_0 \iff \varrho_V \leq \alpha_0$, and in this case we have $\limsup_k \rho_k \leq \alpha_0$;

(b) $\varrho_2 > \alpha_0 \iff \varrho_V > \alpha_0$, and in this case we have $\varrho_2 = \varrho_V = \lim_k \rho_k$.

Below the estimation of the convergence rate ϱ_2 for some Metropolis-Hastings Markov kernel P is derived from Proposition 2. Recall that Inequality (10) applies when P is reversible. The generic procedure for the following instances of Markov kernel P is as follows:

1. Compute α_0 given in (17) and choose a small $\varepsilon > 0$

2. $k := 2$

q	$\tau = 0.2$			$\tau = 0.5$		
	$\alpha_0(q,\tau)$	$\rho_k(q)$	$\varrho_2(q)$	$\alpha_0(q,\tau)$	$\rho_k(q)$	$\varrho_2(q)$
0.1	0.9694	$\rho_{27} \simeq 0.9710$	$\simeq 0.9710$	0.9914	$\rho_{39} \simeq 0.9921$	$\simeq 0.9921$
0.2	0.9389	$\rho_{30} \simeq 0.9421$	$\simeq 0.9421$	0.9828	$\rho_{44} \simeq 0.9842$	$\simeq 0.9842$
0.3	0.9083	$\rho_{31} \simeq 0.9131$	$\simeq 0.9131$	0.9743	$\rho_{47} \simeq 0.9763$	$\simeq 0.9763$
0.4	0.8778	$\rho_{32} \simeq 0.8842$	$\simeq 0.8842$	0.9657	$\rho_{50} \simeq 0.9684$	$\simeq 0.9684$
0.5	0.8472	$\rho_{33} \simeq 0.8552$	$\simeq 0.8552$	0.9571	$\rho_{51} \simeq 0.9605$	$\simeq 0.9605$

q	$\tau = 0.6$			$\tau = 0.8$		
	$\alpha_0(q,\tau)$	$\rho_k(q)$	$\varrho_2(q)$	$\alpha_0(q,\tau)$	$\rho_k(q)$	$\varrho_2(q)$
0.1	0.9949	$\rho_{44} \simeq 0.9953$	$\simeq 0.9953$	0.99889	$\rho_{55} \simeq 0.99883$	≤ 0.99889
0.2	0.9898	$\rho_{51} \simeq 0.9906$	$\simeq 0.9906$	0.99777	$\rho_{66} \simeq 0.99781$	$\simeq 0.99781$
0.3	0.9848	$\rho_{55} \simeq 0.9860$	$\simeq 0.9860$	0.99666	$\rho_{73} \simeq 0.9968$	$\simeq 0.9968$
0.4	0.9797	$\rho_{58} \simeq 0.9814$	$\simeq 0.9814$	0.99554	$\rho_{79} \simeq 0.99579$	$\simeq 0.99579$
0.5	0.9746	$\rho_{60} \simeq 0.9767$	$\simeq 0.9767$	0.99443	$\rho_{83} \simeq 0.9948$	$\simeq 0.9948$

Table 2: Results for different values of τ with $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$. The second eigenvalue $\rho_k \equiv \rho_k(q)$ of $P_k \equiv P^{(q)}{}_k$ is obtained from the observed empirical stabilization of ρ_k with respect to k.

3. Consider the k-order truncated matrix P_k of the kernel P.

4. Compute the second highest eigenvalue ρ_k of P_k.

5. If $|\rho_k - \rho_{k-1}| > \varepsilon$ then ($k := k + 1$, return to step 3)

   else if $\rho_k > \alpha_0$ then $\varrho_2 \simeq \rho_k$ else $\varrho_2 \leq \alpha_0$.

It is clear that the control of the stabilization of the sequence $(\rho_k)_{k \geq 2}$ through the comparison between $|\rho_k - \rho_{k-1}|$ and ε only provides an estimation of ϱ_2.

Example 6 (Example 4 continued)
*Let us consider the probability distribution π given by $\pi(i) := C\,(i+1)\,\tau^i$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where C is a (possibly unknown) normalisation constant and $0 < \tau < 1$. Then (**AS4**) is satisfied. If we choose an RW as in Example 4 for the proposal kernel, the associated M-H kernel $P^{(q)}$ is defined by $P^{(q)}(0,1) = \min(1 - r\,,\,2\,q\,\tau)$ and*

$$\forall i \geq 1, \quad P^{(q)}(i, i-1) = q \min\left(1\,,\, \frac{1}{\tau}\,\frac{i}{i+1}\right) \quad P^{(q)}(i, i+1) = q \min\left(1\,,\, \tau\,\frac{i+2}{i+1}\right)$$

$$P^{(q)}(i,i) := 1 - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P^{(q)}(i, \ell).$$

*For $q \in (0, 1/2]$, $P^{(q)}$ satisfies (**SG$_2$**) with $r_{ess}(P^{(q)}_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) \leq \alpha_0(q) = 1 - q\left(1 - \sqrt{\tau}\right)^2$ (see (18)). Table 2 based on Proposition 2 gives the estimate of $\varrho_2(q)$ of $P^{(q)}$.*

q	$\alpha_0(q) \equiv r_{ess}(P^{(q)})$	$\rho_k(q)$	$\varrho_2(q)$
0.1	0.9	$\rho_{37} \simeq 0.9003$	$\simeq 0.9003$
0.2	0.8	$\rho_{83} \simeq 0.8008$	$\simeq 0.8008$
0.3	0.7	$\rho_{151} \simeq 0.7015$	$\simeq 0.7015$
0.38	0.62	$\rho_{61} \simeq 0.6301$	$\simeq 0.6301$
0.4	0.6	$\rho_{17} \simeq 0.6568$	$\simeq 0.6568$
0.5	0.5	$\rho_{14} \simeq 0.8090$	$\simeq 0.8090$

Table 3: $\rho_k \equiv \rho_k(q)$ is obtained from the observed empirical stabilization of ρ_k with $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$.

Example 7 (Example 5 continued) *Table 3 based on Proposition 2 gives the estimation of $\varrho_2(q)$ of the M-H $P^{(q)}$ used in the simulation of the Poisson distribution of Example 5. Note that $q := 1/2$ gives the smallest value of $r_{ess}(P^{(q)}_{|\ell^2(\pi)}) = \alpha_0(q) = 0.5$, with α_0 given by (18). However $q := 1/2$ does not provide the minimal rate of convergence in $\ell^2(\pi)$-norm (or in $\mathcal{B}_V$-norm). More precisely, for $q = 1/2$, the kernel $P^{(q)}$ admits some eigenvalues in the annulus $\Gamma := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : 0.5 < |\lambda| < 1\}$, among which $\varrho_2(q) \approx 0.8090$ is the larger one in absolute value. Actually the minimal rate of convergence is achieved at $q \approx 0.38$ and note that every value $0.2 \leq q < 0.5$ in Table 3 provides a minimal rate than for $q := 1/2$. It could be conjectured from numerical evidence that for $q \leq q_0$ with $q_0 \approx 0.35$, $\varrho_2 = \alpha_0(q)$.*

References

[Bax05]   P. H. Baxendale. Renewal theory and computable convergence rates for geometrically ergodic Markov chains. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 15(1B):700–738, 2005.

[CG13]   J.-P. Conze and Y. Guivarc'h. Ergodicity of group actions and spectral gap, applications to random walks and Markov shifts. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 33(9):4239–4269, 2013.

[Che04]   M.-F. Chen. *From Markov chains to non-equilibrium particle systems*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, second edition, 2004.

[FHL12]   D. Ferré, L. Hervé, and J. Ledoux. Limit theorems for stationary Markov processes with L^2-spectral gap. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 48:396–423, 2012.

[GW06]   F. Gong and L. Wu. Spectral gap of positive operators and applications. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 85(2):151–191, 2006.

[Hen93]   H. Hennion. Sur un théorème spectral et son application aux noyaux lipchitziens. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118:627–634, 1993.

[HL14a]   L. Hervé and J. Ledoux. Approximating Markov chains and V-geometric ergodicity via weak perturbation theory. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 124(1):613–638, 2014.

[HL14b]   L. Hervé and J. Ledoux. Spectral analysis of Markov kernels and aplication to the convergence rate of discrete random walks. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 46(4):1036–1058, 2014.

[Isa79]   D. Isaacson. A characterization of geometric ergodicity. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 49(3):267–273, 1979.

[KM12]   I. Kontoyiannis and S. P. Meyn. Geometric ergodicity and the spectral gap of non-reversible Markov chains. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 154(1-2):327–339, 2012.

[Kov10]   Y. Kovchegov. Orthogonality and probability: mixing times. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 15:59–67, 2010.

[MS13]   Y. H. Mao and Y. H. Song. Spectral gap and convergence rate for discrete-time Markov chains. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 29(10):1949–1962, 2013.

[MT93]   S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. *Markov chains and stochastic stability*. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 1993.

[MT96]   K. L. Mengersen and R. L. Tweedie. Rates of convergence of the Hastings and Metropolis algorithms. *Ann. Statist.*, 24(1):101–121, 1996.

[Pop77]   N. N. Popov. Geometric ergodicity conditions for countable Markov chains. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 234(2):316–319, 1977.

[Ros71]   M. Rosenblatt. *Markov processes. Structure and asymptotic behavior*. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1971.

[RR97]   G. O. Roberts and J. S. Rosenthal. Geometric ergodicity and hybrid Markov chains. *Elect. Comm. in Probab.*, 2:13–25, 1997.

[SW11]   W. Stadje and A. Wübker. Three kinds of geometric convergence for Markov chains and the spectral gap property. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16:no. 34, 1001–1019, 2011.

[vDS95] E. A. van Doorn and P. Schrijner. Geometric ergodicity and quasi-stationarity in discrete-time birth-death processes. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 37(2):121–144, 1995.

[Wu04]   L. Wu. Essential spectral radius for Markov semigroups. I. Discrete time case. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 128(2):255–321, 2004.

[Wüb12] A. Wübker. Spectral theory for weakly reversible Markov chains. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 49(1):245–265, 2012.

[Yue00] W. K. Yuen. Applications of geometric bounds to the convergence rate of Markov chains on $\mathbf{R}^n$. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 87(1):1–23, 2000.